



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/945,123	08/31/2001	Robert A. Leydier	40.0048	2062
41754	7590	12/09/2010	EXAMINER	
THE JANSSON FIRM			ZIA, SYED	
3616 Far West Blvd				
Ste 117-314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
AUSTIN, TX 78731			2431	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/09/2010	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

lawfirm@thejanssonfirm.com
Pehr@thejanssonfirm.com

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/945,123	LEYDIER ET AL.	

All Participants:	Status of Application: _____
(1) <u>SYED ZIA</u> .	(3) _____.
(2) <u>Pehr Jansson (Reg. No.: 35,759)</u> .	(4) _____.
Date of Interview: <u>6 December 2010</u>	Time: <u>10:14 AM EST</u>
Type of Interview:	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Telephonic <input type="checkbox"/> Video Conference <input type="checkbox"/> Personal (Copy given to: <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant's representative)	
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	
If Yes, provide a brief description: .	
Part I.	
Rejection(s) discussed: <i>Last After-final response from attorney</i>	
Claims discussed: <i>1 and 54</i>	
Prior art documents discussed: <i>Tamir et al: 2004/0220807; King:6,382,516; Bartelli:6,325,285; Sanemitsu:5,708,853; Takhashi:4,961,229 and Atsmon:7,383,297</i>	
Part II.	
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: <i>See Continuation Sheet</i>	
Part III.	
<input type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. <input type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.	
<i>/Syed Zia/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2431</i>	
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)	

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner initiated this interview to have compact prosecution and to discuss above mentioned references and further amend, Claim 1 and 54 to overcome the cited teachings of references as discussed during interview. Examiner also provided the list of references and Attorney agreed to further study these references and will inform examiner either providing an examiner amendments, if possible, or requesting a new office action.